



## Appendix B

### Algebra and Basic Facts About $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$

**B.1.** A *field* is a set  $F$ , together with binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  on  $F$  such that

- (a)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$  holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $F$ ,
- (b)  $x + y = y + x$  holds for all  $x, y$  in  $F$ ,
- (c) there is an element  $0$  of  $F$  such that  $x + 0 = x$  holds for all  $x$  in  $F$ ,
- (d) for each  $x$  in  $F$  there is an element  $-x$  of  $F$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ ,
- (e)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $F$ ,
- (f)  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  holds for all  $x, y$  in  $F$ ,
- (g) there is an element  $1$  of  $F$ , distinct from  $0$ , such that  $1 \cdot x = x$  holds for all  $x$  in  $F$ ,
- (h) for each nonzero  $x$  in  $F$  there is an element  $x^{-1}$  of  $F$  such that  $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$ , and
- (i)  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $F$ .

Of course, one usually writes  $xy$  in place of  $x \cdot y$ .

**B.2.** An *ordered field* is a field  $F$ , together with a linear order  $\leq$  (see A.11) on  $F$  such that

- (a) if  $x, y$ , and  $z$  belong to  $F$  and if  $x \leq y$ , then  $x + z \leq y + z$ , and
- (b) if  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $F$  and satisfy  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$ , then  $x \cdot y > 0$ .

Let  $F$  be an ordered field, and let  $A$  be a subset of  $F$ . An *upper bound* of  $A$  is an element  $x$  of  $F$  such that  $a \leq x$  holds for each  $a$  in  $A$ ; a *least upper bound* (or *supremum*) of  $A$  is an upper bound of  $A$  that is smaller than all other upper bounds of  $A$ . *Lower bounds* and *greatest lower bounds* (or *infima*) are defined analogously. An ordered field  $F$  is *complete* if each nonempty subset of  $F$  that has an upper bound in  $F$  has a least upper bound in  $F$ .

**B.3.** The field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers is a complete ordered field; it is essentially the only complete ordered field (see Birkhoff and MacLane [9, Chapter 4], Gleason [49, Chapters 8 and 9], or Spivak [111, Chapters 28 and 29] for a precise statement and proof of this assertion).

**B.4.** The *extended real numbers* consist of the real numbers, together with  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ . We will use  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  or  $[-\infty, +\infty]$  to denote the set of all extended real numbers. The relations  $-\infty < x$  and  $x < +\infty$  are declared to hold for each real number  $x$  (of course  $-\infty < +\infty$ ). We define arithmetic operations on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  by declaring that

$$x + (+\infty) = (+\infty) + x = +\infty$$

and

$$x + (-\infty) = (-\infty) + x = -\infty$$

hold for each real  $x$ , that

$$x \cdot (+\infty) = (+\infty) \cdot x = +\infty$$

and

$$x \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot x = -\infty$$

hold for each positive real  $x$ , and that

$$x \cdot (+\infty) = (+\infty) \cdot x = -\infty$$

and

$$x \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot x = +\infty$$

hold for each negative real  $x$ ; we also declare that

$$(+\infty) + (+\infty) = +\infty,$$

$$(-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty,$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (+\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot (-\infty) = +\infty,$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot (+\infty) = -\infty,$$

and

$$0 \cdot (+\infty) = (+\infty) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (-\infty) = (-\infty) \cdot 0 = 0.$$

The sums  $(+\infty) + (-\infty)$  and  $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$  are left undefined. (The products  $0 \cdot (+\infty)$ ,  $(+\infty) \cdot 0$ ,  $(-\infty) \cdot 0$ , and  $0 \cdot (-\infty)$ , even though left undefined in many other areas of mathematics, are defined to be 0 in the study of measure theory; this simplifies the definition of the Lebesgue integral.)

The absolute values of  $+\infty$  and of  $-\infty$  are defined by

$$|+\infty| = |-\infty| = +\infty.$$

The maximum and minimum of the extended real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  are often denoted by  $x \vee y$  and  $x \wedge y$ .



**B.13.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . For each pair  $x, y$  of elements of  $V$ , the *line segment* connecting  $x$  and  $y$  is the set of points that can be written in the form  $tx + (1-t)y$  for some  $t$  in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . A subset  $C$  of  $V$  is *convex* if for each pair  $x, y$  of points in  $C$  the line segment connecting  $x$  and  $y$  is included in  $C$ .

**B.14.** (We will need this and Sect. B.15 only for the discussion of the Banach–Tarski paradox in Appendix G.) Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. If  $x$  is a nonzero vector and  $\lambda$  is a real number such that  $T(x) = \lambda x$ , then  $x$  is an *eigenvector* of  $T$  and  $\lambda$  is an *eigenvalue* of  $T$ .

Note that if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  and if  $x$  is a corresponding eigenvector, then  $(T - \lambda I)(x) = 0$ , and so  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible. If the vector space  $V$  is finite dimensional, the converse holds:  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  if and only if the operator  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

Let  $T$  be a linear operator on the finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , let  $\{e_i\}$  be a basis for  $V$ , and let  $A$  be the matrix of  $T$  with respect to  $\{e_i\}$ . Define  $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ . Then  $p(\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ , called the *characteristic polynomial* of  $A$  (or of  $T$ ). The eigenvalues of  $T$  are exactly the roots of the polynomial  $p(\lambda)$ .

**B.15.** The *transpose* of a matrix  $A$  (with components  $a_{ij}$ ) is the matrix  $A^t$  whose components are given by  $a'_{ij} = a_{ji}$ . Note that if  $A$  is a  $d$  by  $d$  matrix, if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $x$  and  $y$  viewed as column vectors, and if  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual inner product function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $(Ax, y) = (x, A^t y)$ .

**B.16.** A *group* is a set  $G$ , together with a binary operation  $\cdot$  on  $G$  such that

- (a)  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ ,
- (b) there is an element  $e$  of  $G$  such that  $e \cdot x = x \cdot e = x$  holds for all  $x$  in  $G$ , and
- (c) for each  $x$  in  $G$  there is an element  $x^{-1}$  of  $G$  such that  $x \cdot x^{-1} = x^{-1} \cdot x = e$ .

A group  $G$  is *commutative* (or *abelian*) if  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  holds for all  $x, y$  in  $G$ . One often uses  $+$ , rather than  $\cdot$ , to denote the operation in a commutative group. A *subgroup* of the group  $G$  is a subset  $G_0$  of  $G$  that is a group when the operation  $\cdot$  is restricted to  $G_0 \times G_0$ .

**B.17.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be groups. A function  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a *homomorphism* if  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$  holds for all  $x, y$  in  $G_1$ . A bijective function  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is an *isomorphism* if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are homomorphisms.

**B.5.** Each subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  has a least upper bound, or supremum, and a greatest lower bound, or infimum, in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . The supremum and infimum of a subset  $A$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  are often denoted by  $\sup(A)$  and  $\inf(A)$ . Note that the set under consideration here may be empty: each element of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is an upper bound and a lower bound of  $\emptyset$ ; hence  $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$  and  $\inf(\emptyset) = +\infty$ . Note also that  $\sup(A)$  is a real number (rather than  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ ) if and only if  $A$  is nonempty and bounded above; a similar remark applies to infima.

**B.6.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . The *limit superior* of  $\{x_n\}$ , written  $\overline{\lim}_n x_n$  or  $\limsup_n x_n$ , is defined by

$$\overline{\lim}_n x_n = \inf_k \sup_{n \geq k} x_n.$$

Likewise, the *limit inferior* of  $\{x_n\}$ , written  $\underline{\lim}_n x_n$  or  $\liminf_n x_n$ , is defined by

$$\underline{\lim}_n x_n = \sup_k \inf_{n \geq k} x_n.$$

The relation  $\underline{\lim}_n x_n \leq \overline{\lim}_n x_n$  holds for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a *limit* (in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ) if  $\overline{\lim}_n x_n = \underline{\lim}_n x_n$ ; the limit of  $\{x_n\}$  is then defined by

$$\lim_n x_n = \overline{\lim}_n x_n = \underline{\lim}_n x_n$$

(note that  $\lim_n x_n$  can be  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ ).

In cases where each  $x_n$ , along with  $\lim_n x_n$ , is finite, the definition of limit given above is equivalent to the usual  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  (or  $\varepsilon$ - $N$ ) definition:  $x = \lim_n x_n$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon$  there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$  holds for each  $n$  larger than  $N$ . (We need our definition of limits in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , involving lim sups and lim infs, because we need to handle infinite limits and sums, and sums some of whose terms may include  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ .)

**B.7.** We will occasionally need the fact that if  $a$  and  $a_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are real (or complex) numbers such that  $a = \lim_n a_n$ , then  $a = \lim_n (a_1 + \dots + a_n)/n$ . To verify this, note that if  $1 \leq M < n$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - a \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^M |a_i - a| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=M+1}^n |a_i - a|.$$

If we first make  $M$  so large that  $|a_i - a| < \varepsilon$  if  $i > M$  and then choose  $N$  so large that  $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^M |a_i - a|$  is less than  $\varepsilon$  if  $n > N$ , then  $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  is within  $2\varepsilon$  of  $a$  if  $n > \max(M, N)$ .

**B.8.** Let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  be an infinite series whose terms belong to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . This series has a *sum* if

- (a)  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$  do not both occur among the terms of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ , and
- (b) the sequence  $\{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of partial sums of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  has a limit in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

The sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  is then defined to be  $\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$  and is denoted by  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ . (Note that condition (a) above is needed to guarantee that each of the partial sums  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k$  is defined.)

The reader can check that the sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  exists *and belongs to*  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if

- (a) each term of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  belongs to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and
- (b) the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  is convergent (in the sense of elementary calculus).

Suppose that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  is an infinite series whose terms belong to  $[0, +\infty]$ . It is easy to see that the sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  exists and is the supremum of the set of sums  $\sum_{k \in F} x_k$ , where  $F$  ranges over the set of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**B.9.** A *dyadic rational* is a number that can be written in the form  $i/2^n$  for some integer  $i$  and some nonnegative integer  $n$ . If  $x$  is a dyadic rational that belongs to the interval  $(0, 1)$ , then  $x$  can be written in the form  $i/2^n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $i$  is an odd integer such that  $0 < i < 2^n$ . Such an  $x$  has a binary expansion  $0.b_1b_2 \dots b_n$ , where there are exactly  $n$  bits to the right of the binary point and where  $b_n$ , the rightmost of these bits, is equal to 1. Such an  $x$  also has an unending binary expansion, where  $b_n = 0$  and all the later bits  $(b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \dots)$  are equal to 1. These dyadic rationals are the only values in the interval  $(0, 1)$  that have more than one binary expansion; to see this, suppose that  $x$  has binary expansions  $0.b_1b_2 \dots$  and  $0.c_1c_2 \dots$ , let  $n_0$  be the smallest  $n$  such that  $b_n \neq c_n$  (for definiteness, suppose that  $b_{n_0} = 0$  and  $c_{n_0} = 1$ ), and check that this can happen only if  $b_{n_0+1} = b_{n_0+2} = \dots = 1$  and  $c_{n_0+1} = c_{n_0+2} = \dots = 0$ .

**B.10.** Roughly speaking, the *complex numbers* are those of the form  $x + iy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers and  $i$  satisfies  $i^2 = -1$ . They form a field. More precisely, the set  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers can be represented by the set of all ordered pairs  $(x, y)$  of real numbers; addition and multiplication are then defined on  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$(x, y) + (u, v) = (x + u, y + v)$$

and

$$(x, y) \cdot (u, v) = (xu - yv, xv + yu).$$

It is not hard to check that with these operations

- (a)  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field, and
- (b)  $(0, 1) \cdot (0, 1) = (-1, 0)$ .

If we return to the usual informal notation and write  $x + iy$  in place of  $(x, y)$ , then assertions (a) and (b) above provide justification for the first two sentences of this paragraph.

If  $z$  is a complex number, then the real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  that satisfy  $z = x + iy$  are called the *real* and *imaginary parts* of  $z$ ; they are sometimes denoted by  $\Re(z)$  and  $\Im(z)$ .

The *absolute value*, or *modulus*, of the complex number  $z$  (where  $z = x + iy$ ) is defined by

$$|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

It is easy to check that  $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|$  and  $|z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$  hold for all  $z_1, z_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Limits of sequences of complex numbers and sums of infinite series whose terms are complex are defined in the expected way. The exponential function is defined on  $\mathbb{C}$  by the usual infinite series:

$$e^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n / n!.$$

With some elementary manipulations of this series, one can check that

- (a)  $e^0 = 1$ ,
- (b)  $e^{z_1+z_2} = e^{z_1} e^{z_2}$  for all complex  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , and
- (c)  $e^{it} = \cos t + i \sin t$  for all real  $t$ .

**B.11.** Let  $F$  be a field (in this book it will generally be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). A *vector space* over  $F$  is a set  $V$ , together with operations  $(v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 + v_2$  from  $V \times V$  to  $V$  and  $(\alpha, v) \mapsto \alpha \cdot v$  from  $F \times V$  to  $V$  such that

- (a)  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$  holds for all  $x, y, z$  in  $V$ ,
- (b)  $x + y = y + x$  holds for all  $x, y$  in  $V$ ,
- (c) there is an element  $0$  of  $V$  such that  $x + 0 = x$  holds for all  $x$  in  $V$ ,
- (d) for each  $x$  in  $V$  there is an element  $-x$  of  $V$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ ,
- (e)  $1 \cdot x = x$  holds for all  $x$  in  $V$ ,
- (f)  $(\alpha\beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x)$  holds for all  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $F$  and all  $x$  in  $V$ ,
- (g)  $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$  holds for all  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $F$  and all  $x$  in  $V$ , and
- (h)  $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$  holds for all  $\alpha$  in  $F$  and all  $x, y$  in  $V$ .

(We will, of course, usually write  $\alpha x$  in place of  $\alpha \cdot x$ .)

Note that  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and that  $\mathbb{C}^d$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  (it is also a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Note also that if  $F$  is a field, then  $F$  is a vector space over  $F$ .

A *subspace* (or a *linear subspace*) of a vector space  $V$  over  $F$  is a subset  $V_0$  of  $V$  that is a vector space when the operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are restricted to  $V_0 \times V_0$  and  $F \times V_0$ .

**B.12.** Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be vector spaces over the same field  $F$ . A function  $L: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is *linear* if

$$L(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha L(x) + \beta L(y)$$

holds for all  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $F$  and all  $x, y$  in  $V_1$ . A bijective linear map is a *linear isomorphism*. It is easy to check that the inverse of a linear isomorphism is linear.

Let  $V$  be a vector space over the field  $F$ . A *linear functional* on  $V$  is a linear map from  $V$  to the field  $F$ .